Comparative Benchmark Analysis Paper

Siyi Wu

Jiayi Chao (Cecilia)

Yu-chia Huang (Audrey)

Arts and Cultural Management, Pratt Institute

ACM-651 Financial Reporting

Instructor Chinaedu Maduagwu, Larry Degaetano, Snow Bai

March 19th, 2025

Table of Contents

1. Introduction **4**
1.1 Purpose of the Analysis 4
1.2 Organizations Selected 4
1.2.1 Focused Company 4
Ping Chong and Company (PCC). 4
1.2.2 Peer Companies 5
The Ambler Theater. 5
The Wooster Group. 5
1.2.3 Leader Company 6
The Public Theater (New York City). 6
2. ESG Performance Analysis **6**
2.1 Environmental Responsibility 7
Key Findings 7
2.2 Social Impact & Community Engagement 8
Key Findings 8
2.3 Governance & Transparency 9
Key Findings 9
2.4 Summary of ESG Performance 10
3. Financial Management Performance **10**
3.1 Financial Performance Assessment 2022-2023 10
3.1.1 Liquidity Ratios 10
A. Current Ratio. 10
Assessment. 11
3.1.2 Capital Structure 12
A. Debt Ratio 12
Assessment. 13
3.1.3 Profitability Ratios 14
A. Net Income Ratio. 14
B. Return on Assets (ROA). 14
C. Return on Equity (ROE). 15
Assessment. 15
3.1.4 Trend Analysis 17
A. Revenue Growth Rate. 17
B. Net Assets Growth Rate. 17
Assessment. 18
3.1.5 Overall Financial Performance Assessment. 19
4. Organizations Assessment 20

4.1 Short-term Financial Performance 21
Key Metrics. 21
Assessment. 22
4.2 Long-term Financial Performance 22
Key Metrics. 22
Assessment. 23
4.3 Operating Performance 23
Efficiency & Cost Management. 23
Revenue & Audience Engagement. 24
4.4 Data Strategy 24
Assessment. 24
4.5 Assessment of ASU 2016-14 Compliance for the Selected Organizations 25
5. Plans for Improvement for Ping Chong & Co. in Light of Its Three-Year Transition 26
5.1 Financial Management Strategy 26
Diversify Revenue Streams 26
Enhance Cash Flow and Reserves 27
Enhance Cost Control and Financial Transparency 27
5.2 Sustainability Management Strategy 27
Implement Sustainable Production Policies 27
Develop and ESG Reporting Framework 28
Establish a Long-Term Sustainability Plan 28
5.3 Data Management Strategy 28
Financial Tracking & Automation 28
Enhance Public Outreach Through Community Partnerships 29
Ensuring Data Accuracy, Privacy & Compliance 29
5.4 Integrated Performance Management (IPM) Strategy 30
Align Financial Goals with Mission Impact 30
Learn from The Public Theater's Model for Scaling Impact 30
6. Conclusion 31
References 33

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Analysis

This benchmark analysis uses Triple Bottom Line to evaluate Ping Chong and Company (PCC) compared to two peer nonprofit arts organizations, The Ambler Theater and The Wooster Group, and one industry leader, The Public Theater. We will analyze and compare these organizations based on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors, which are key indicators of long-term sustainability and stakeholder value. Moreover, by analyzing these four organizations through financial performance, sustainability initiatives, and governance structures, we will identify areas where PCC excels and where improvements can be made.

1.2 Organizations Selected

Since we initially selected Ping Chong and Company (PCC) as our focus, based on SASB's industry classification, we then identified the Media & Entertainment sector and chose The Ambler Theater. and The Wooster Group as peer companies. The Public Theater serves as the leader company, providing a benchmark analysis among these four companies.

1.2.1 Focused Company

Ping Chong and Company (PCC).

- ***Founded:*** 1975
- ***Location:*** New York City, NY
- ***Mission:*** PCC is a nonprofit theater company committed to using documentary-style storytelling to amplify underrepresented voices and address issues of cultural identity, disability, and social justice (Ping Chong and Co., 2025). The company engages communities in co-creating performances that challenge societal narratives and foster dialogue.

- ***Why Focused on PCC:*** PCC has a strong social impact but faces challenges in financial sustainability and governance transparency. This analysis will identify opportunities for growth.

1.2.2 Peer Companies

The Ambler Theater.

- ***Founded:*** 1928 (Nonprofit since 2001)
- ***Location:*** Ambler, PA
- ***Mission:*** Ambler Theater is a community-based nonprofit dedicated to exhibit art, independent and world films; Educate the community about the film and media arts; Serve as a community and charitable resource for the arts; Preserve the historic theaters as cultural resources (Ambler Theater, 2025).
- ***Why The Ambler Theater:*** While its focus is on film rather than live theater, it shares PCC's nonprofit structure, commitment to cultural engagement, and community-driven programming, making it a relevant peer for comparison.

The Wooster Group.

- ***Founded:*** 1975
- ***Location:*** New York City, NY
- ***Mission:*** The Wooster Group is an experimental theater company recognized for its multimedia integration and avant-garde productions that challenge traditional performance norms. Its commitment to working as an ensemble and self-producing outside the commercial system to create work in-depth over long periods of time. This way of working defines its artistic practice and evolving aesthetic (Group, T. W. n.d.).

- ***Why The Wooster Group:*** Like PCC, it is an artist-driven nonprofit theater but with a greater emphasis on innovation rather than social impact. Comparing these organizations highlights different approaches to nonprofit arts sustainability.

1.2.3 Leader Company

The Public Theater (New York City).

- ***Founded:*** 1954
- ***Location:*** New York City, NY
- ***Mission:*** The Public Theater is a leading nonprofit institution dedicated to producing diverse, socially relevant theater and making it accessible to the public through initiatives like Shakespeare in the Park and groundbreaking productions such as Hamilton.
- ***Why The Public Theater:*** As an industry leader, it has a well-established financial structure, sustainability initiatives, and strong governance. Comparing PCC to The Public Theater provides insights into best practices for financial stability, operational efficiency, and long-term impact.

2. ESG Performance Analysis

To compare Ping Chong and Company (PCC), The Ambler Theater, The Wooster Group, and The Public Theater, we analyze each organization's commitment to ESG principles and their reporting practices.

- **Environmental Responsibility**: How the organization manages its ecological footprint.
- **Social Impact**: The organization's role in community engagement, accessibility, and diversity.

- **Governance & Transparency**: Leadership structure, financial reporting, and ethical accountability.

2.1 Environmental Responsibility

Organization	Sustainable Production	Energy Efficiency	Environmental Resources & Reporting
Ping Chong & Co.	Limited eco-friendly initiatives	No formal energy policies	No dedicated sustainability report
The Wooster Group	Uses recycled materials for set design	Some efforts in reducing waste	No dedicated environmental reporting
The Ambler Theater	Some green building practices	Moderate energy efficiency efforts	Reports minimal sustainability actions
The Public Theater	Strong commitment to sustainable production	Energy-efficient lighting & HVAC systems	Public ESG reporting & environmental policies

Key Findings

- PCC and The Wooster Group engage in some sustainable practices (e.g. using recycled set materials) but lack formalized environmental policies or reporting. This means they do not actively measure or communicate their environmental impact.
- The Public Theater leads in sustainability, with structured environmental policies, energy-efficient infrastructure, and dedicated ESG reporting. It actively reduces carbon footprint through LED lighting, HVAC (stands for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) improvements, and sustainable production materials.

2.2 Social Impact & Community Engagement

Organization	Diversity & Inclusion	Community Engagement	Accessibility Initiatives
Ping Chong & Co.	Strong focus on marginalized voices	Community-driven storytelling projects	Inclusive performances (ASL_American Sign Language, audio description)
The Wooster Group	Artistic experimentation with diverse themes	Limited direct community engagement	No major accessibility initiatives
The Ambler Theater	Some diversity in programming	Local partnerships with schools & arts groups	ADA-compliant (Americans with Disabilities Act) facilities
The Public Theater	High commitment to diversity in leadership & productions	Extensive public outreach programs	Free Shakespeare in the Park for accessibility

Key Findings

- PCC excels in social impact due to its core mission of amplifying marginalized voices through community-driven storytelling. The company is notable for:
  - Engaging immigrant communities, people with disabilities, and historically excluded groups.
  - Prioritizing accessibility through ASL interpretation, audio description, and inclusive programming.
- Ambler Theater maintains some diversity in programming and par
- The Wooster Group, while innovative, has limited accessibility initiatives and lacks active community outreach programs, focusing instead on experimental theater for niche audiences.

- The Public Theater is the strongest in broad community engagement, using large-scale public programs (e.g., Free Shakespeare in the Park) to make theater widely accessible. It also has a strong commitment to diversity in casting, leadership, and audience outreach.

2.3 Governance & Transparency

Organization	Financial Transparency	Board Governance	Compliance with Nonprofit Standards
Ping Chong & Co.	Moderate reporting, lacks detailed disclosures	Small but engaged board	Compliant but no advanced ESG reporting
The Wooster Group	Basic reporting, lacks in-depth governance transparency	Artist-led governance	No dedicated ESG framework
The Ambler Theater	Limited financial disclosures	Standard nonprofit board structure	Meets nonprofit requirements
The Public Theater	Extensive financial disclosures & ESG reporting	Strong governance with diverse leadership	Fully compliant with nonprofit and sustainability standards

Key Findings

- The Public Theater has the most structured governance system, with:
  - Transparent financial disclosures, published annual reports, and detailed ESG reporting.
  - A large, diverse board with financial oversight and industry expertise.
- PCC and The Wooster Group have limited governance transparency, with only basic financial reporting and no structured ESG disclosures.
- Ambler Theater meets standard nonprofit governance requirements, but its financial reporting lacks depth and clarity.

2.4 Summary of ESG Performance

Organization	Environmental	Social	Governance
Ping Chong & Co.	🟠 Limited sustainability efforts	🟢 Strong commitment to inclusion	🟠 Moderate transparency, lacks advanced ESG reporting
The Wooster Group	🟠 Some sustainable set practices	🟡 Artistic diversity, but weak accessibility	🔴 Weak transparency and governance
The Ambler Theater	🟡 Moderate eco-efforts	🟡 Some diversity and engagement	🟠 Basic nonprofit governance
The Public Theater	🟢 Strong sustainability practices	🟢 High impact, strong accessibility	🟢 Best governance & financial transparency

Level: 🟢 Strong | 🟡 Moderate | 🟠 Needs Improvement | 🔴 Weak

3. Financial Management Performance

3.1 Financial Performance Assessment 2022-2023

In many sections, we use proxies because detailed line‑item data such as "current assets" or "cash" are not available from the Form 990 summaries. However, our analysis is based on 2021-23 990 and Pingchong's 2024 audit report.

3.1.1 Liquidity Ratios

A. Current Ratio.

Current Ratio = Current Assets / Current Liabilities

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)			2023 (End of Fiscal Year)		
Organization	**Current Assets**	**Current Liabilities**	**Current Ratio**	**Current Assets**	**Current Liabilities**	**Current Ratio**
Ping Chong & Co.	1,630,686	3,861	**422.35**	2,217,740	171,078	**12.97**
The Wooster Group	1,485,926	147,328	**10.08**	1,360,974	27,913	**48.75**

The Ambler Theater	4,998,256	2,221,655	**2.25**	4,708,346	1,983,966	**2.37**
The Public Theater	136,486,072	11,324,703	**12.05**	147,706,234	30,595,941	**4.83**

Assessment.

- Ping Chong & Co.
  - Key Numbers: Current Ratio dropped from over 400 in 2021–22 to about 13 in 2022–23—still high, indicating strong short‑term solvency.
  - Compared to the prior year's $303,411, the 2021–22 liability was slashed by nearly 99%. This sharp reduction suggests that, as part of Ping Chong & Co.'s three-year transition plan begun in 2021, the organization paid down or restructured most of its short-term obligations—effectively reorganizing its finances and positioning itself more securely for the leadership transition. However, such a low liability level also suggests that if the company pursues major new projects, it may need to take on additional obligations or secure new funding sources in the future.
- The Wooster Group
  - Key Numbers: Ratio jumped from roughly 10 to nearly 49, suggesting very low short‑term liabilities or significant growth in current assets.
  - Possible Causes: May reflect a major paydown of liabilities or an influx of cash (e.g., grants).
  - Implications: Although high liquidity is reassuring, the organization should consider whether this is a timing anomaly and plan how to use excess cash productively.

- The Ambler Theater
  - Key Numbers: Ratios of 2.25 and 2.37 reflect moderate, stable liquidity.
  - Possible Causes: Balanced operations without large swings in assets or liabilities.
  - Implications: Steady ratios indicate solid day-to-day financial management, with enough cushion for typical cash-flow variations.
- The Public Theater
  - Key Numbers: Ratio dropped from about 12 to under 5, suggesting a significant relative increase in liabilities.
  - Possible Causes: Larger short-term obligations or the timing of revenue inflows (e.g., grants not yet received).
  - Implications: Although still above 1, the rapid decline warrants attention to ensure liabilities don't outpace incoming funds, particularly if major productions or projects are underway.

3.1.2 Capital Structure

A. Debt Ratio

Debt Ratio = Total Liabilities / Total Assets

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)			**2023 (End of Fiscal Year)**		
Organization	**Total Liabilities**	**Total Assets**	**Debt Ratio**	**Total Liabilities**	**Total Assets**	**Debt Ratio**
Ping Chong & Co.	3,861	1,630,686	**0.24%**	171,078	2,217,740	**7.71%**
The Wooster Group	147,328	1,485,926	**9.91%**	27,913	1,360,974	**2.05%**
The Ambler Theater	2,221,655	4,998,256	**44.48%**	1,983,966	4,708,346	**42.15%**

The Public Theater	11,324,703	136,486,072	**8.30%**	30,595,941	147,706,234	**20.7%**

Assessment.

- Ping Chong & Co.
    - The debt ratio increased from an almost negligible 0.24% in 2021–22 to 7.72% in 2022–23. While both figures are low, the slight uptick suggests that the organization has taken on some additional liabilities—perhaps to finance new projects or restructure existing obligations. This is not inherently negative, but if these liabilities continue to grow without a corresponding increase in assets or revenue, it could limit future investment flexibility.
- The Wooster Group
    - With a debt ratio dropping from 9.91% to 2.05%, The Wooster Group exhibits very low leverage. This reduction may indicate prudent management of liabilities; however, it could also be a result of an unusually low liability base in the recent period. Maintaining this low leverage is positive, but the organization should ensure it leverages its assets effectively to generate revenue without accumulating undue risk.
- The Ambler Theater
    - The Ambler Theater's debt ratio remains high—44.48% in 2021–22 and 42.15% in 2022–23—indicating a heavy reliance on debt financing. While some degree of leverage can be normal in capital-intensive environments, these high ratios suggest potential vulnerability. In times of revenue

decline, high leverage could strain cash flows and limit operational flexibility.

- The Public Theater
  - The Public Theater's debt ratio increased notably from 8.30% to 20.7% over the period, signaling a substantial rise in liabilities relative to its asset base. This increase raises concerns about the organization's financial risk, especially if revenue streams become less predictable. The rising debt level might necessitate tighter cost control and diversification of funding sources to safeguard long-term stability.

3.1.3 Profitability Ratios

A. Net Income Ratio.

Net Income Ratio = Net Revenue / Total Revenue

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)				**2023 (End of Fiscal Year)**			
Org	**Net Income**	**Total Expenses**	**Total Revenue**	**Net Income Ratio**	**Net Income**	**Total Expenses**	**Total Revenue**	**Net Income Ratio**
Ping Chong	533,416	1,129,210	1,662,626	**32.1%**	419,837	1,521,236	1,941,073	**21.6%**
Wooster Group	415,348	1,347,031	1,762,379	**22.6%**	– 5,537	1,453,259	1,447,722	**– 0.38%**
Ambler Theatre	642,295	984,956	1,627,251	**39.5%**	– 52,221	1,310,864	1,258,643	**– 4.15%**
Public Theater	7,128,793	63,415,203	70,543,996	**10.1%**	–11,285,650	61,695,102	50,409,452	**– 22.4%**

B. Return on Assets (ROA).

ROA = Net Income / Total Assets

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)			2023 (End of Fiscal Year)		
Org	**Net Income**	**Total Assets**	**ROA**	**Net Income**	**Total Assets**	**ROA**
Ping Chong	533,416	1,630,686	32.7%	419,837	2,217,740	18.93%
Wooster Group	415,348	1,485,926	27.9%	– 5,537	1,360,974	-0.41%
Ambler Theatre	642,295	4,998,256	12.9%	– 52,221	4,708,346	-1.11%
Public Theater	7,128,793	136,486,072	5.22%	– 11,285,650	147,706,234	-7.64%

C. Return on Equity (ROE).

ROE = Net Income / Net Assets

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)			2023 (End of Fiscal Year)		
Org	**Net Income**	**Net Assets**	**ROE**	**Net Income**	**Net Assets**	**ROE**
Ping Chong	533,416	1,626,825	**32.77%**	419,837	2,046,662	**20.51%**
Wooster Group	415,348	1,338,598	**31.02%**	– 5,537	1,333,061	**-0.42%**
Ambler Theatre	642,295	2,776,601	**23.14%**	– 52,221	2,724,380	**-1.92%**
Public Theater	7,128,793	125,161,369	**5.70%**	– 11,285,650	117,110,293	**-9.64%**

Assessment.

- Ping Chong & Co
  - **Causes:** The decline across all three ratios suggests rising expenses—likely due to costs associated with a leadership transition and restructuring—without a proportional revenue increase.

- **Future Impact:** If margins continue to compress, the organization may face limited reinvestment capacity unless it diversifies revenue streams and tightens cost controls.
- **Strengths:** Despite the decline, it remains profitable and maintains a solid surplus, which can support gradual improvements.

- The Wooster Group
  - **Causes:** A steep drop into negative figures indicates a sharp revenue decline and/or a surge in costs, resulting in an inability to cover expenses with its assets or equity.
  - **Future Impact:** Sustained losses will erode its equity base and asset efficiency, threatening long-term viability unless immediate corrective measures—like revenue diversification and cost restructuring—are implemented.
- The Ambler Theater
  - **Causes:** The theater's transition from healthy margins to slight losses likely stems from declining ticket sales or increased operational costs not offset by revenue.
  - **Future Impact:** Prolonged negative performance could hinder its ability to invest in quality productions or marketing, thereby reducing audience engagement and jeopardizing future stability.
- The Public Theater

- **Causes:** The low and then negative profitability ratios indicate that a high-cost, large-scale production model is no longer yielding sufficient surplus; reduced grant support or revenue dips may also be factors.
- **Future Impact:** If these trends continue, The Public Theater may experience funding shortfalls and an erosion of donor confidence, forcing strategic changes in programming and expense management to restore profitability.

3.1.4 Trend Analysis

A. Revenue Growth Rate.

Revenue Growth Rate = (Current Year Revenue – Prior Year Revenue) / Prior Year Revenue

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)	**2023 (End of Fiscal Year)**	
Org	**Prior Year Revenue**	**Current Year Revenue**	**Revenue Growth Rate**
Ping Chong	1,662,626	1,941,073	**16.74% growth**
Wooster Group	1,762,379	1,447,722	**–17.87% decline**
Ambler Theatre	1,627,251	1,258,643	**–22.65% decline**
Public Theater	70,543,996	50,409,452	**–28.56% decline**

B..

Net Assets Growth Rate = (Current Year Net Assets – Prior Year Net Assets) / Prior Year Net Assets

	2022 (End of Fiscal Year)	**2023 (End of Fiscal Year)**	
Org	**Prior Year Net Assets**	**Current Year Net Assets**	**Net Assets Growth Rate**
Ping Chong	1,626,825	2,046,662	**25.79% growth**
Wooster Group	1,338,598	1,333,061	**–0.41% (nearly flat)**

Ambler Theatre	2,776,601	2,724,380	**–1.88% decline**
Public Theater	125,161,369	117,110,293	**–6.44% decline**

Assessment.

- Ping Chong & Co.
  - These positive trends suggest that the organization is strengthening its financial position, likely by restructuring its short-term obligations and reinvesting in assets.
  - Future Implications: While growth is promising, continued reliance on grants means that without diversifying revenue, future fluctuations in funding could undermine long-term stability.
- The Wooster Group
  - The significant revenue drop, coupled with stagnant net asset growth, signals potential operational challenges.
  - Future Implications: Without rapid corrective actions—such as cost restructuring or new revenue initiatives—the group may face erosion of its financial base, risking further instability.
- The Ambler Theater
  - The notable revenue decline, paired with a small drop in net assets, indicates that the theater is struggling to sustain its income amid rising operational costs.
  - Future Implications: Prolonged negative trends could limit its ability to invest in programming and may require strategic adjustments to revitalize revenue streams and improve asset utilization.
- The Public Theater

- This dramatic decline in both revenue and net assets highlights serious operational and financial challenges, likely tied to high production costs and reduced funding.
- Future Implications: Sustained losses may erode donor confidence and reduce the capacity to fund future productions. Strategic restructuring and revenue diversification are urgently needed to reverse these trends.

3.1.5 Overall Financial Performance Assessment.

- **Ping Chong & Co. – Good**
  - Strong liquidity and financial reserves despite a decline in profitability ratios (Net Income Ratio from 32% to 22%).
  - Revenue and net assets have grown, suggesting financial stability.
  - Challenge: Heavy reliance on grants (70% of revenue), requiring diversified income streams for long-term stability.
  - Conclusion:
  - With continued cost control and revenue diversification, Ping Chong & Co. is well-positioned for sustained growth.
- **The Wooster Group – Weak**
  - Steep decline in revenue (-17.87%) and negative profitability (-0.38%) in 2022-23.
  - Liquidity is high, but this could be due to timing anomalies rather than financial stability.
  - Net assets are stagnating, signaling operational inefficiencies.
  - Conclusion: Immediate corrective actions (cost restructuring, new revenue strategies) are needed to prevent further financial erosion.

- **The Ambler Theater – Moderate**
  - Significant revenue decline (-22.65%) and negative profitability (-4.15%).
  - Moderate liquidity ratio (2.37) provides some operational stability.
  - Dependence on ticket sales makes it vulnerable to declining audience engagement.
  - Conclusion: The theater needs to rethink pricing, audience engagement, and cost control strategies to restore profitability and long-term financial health.
- **The Public Theater – Stable but Facing Challenges**
  - Revenue dropped sharply (-28.5%), causing a net asset decline of -6.44%.
  - Still has strong reserves and operational leverage, enabling it to absorb financial downturns.
  - High fixed costs due to large-scale productions pose risks if revenue declines persist.
  - Conclusion: While facing financial challenges, The Public Theater remains structurally stable due to its size, reserves, and established donor base. To mitigate risks, it should optimize operational efficiency, diversify income streams beyond major donations, and enhance financial forecasting.

4. Organizations Assessment

This analysis provides assessment of the financial health, operational effectiveness, and data strategy of Ping Chong & Co., The Wooster Group, The Ambler Theater, and The Public Theater. The evaluation integrates principles from Integrated Performance Management (IPM), focusing on short-term and long-term financial sustainability, operating performance, and data-driven decision-making.

4.1 Short-term Financial Performance

Key Metrics.

- Revenue Growth & Stability: Measures the ability to generate consistent revenues through grants, donations, ticket sales, and investments.
- Liquidity & Cash Flow: Evaluates the capacity to cover short-term liabilities and maintain a healthy cash balance.
- Expense Management: Assesses whether cost structures are optimized for efficiency without compromising program quality.

Organization	Revenue Growth (Year-Over-Year)	Liquidity & Cash Position	Expense Trends
Ping Chong & Co.	+16.74% (2022-23)	Increased liabilities due to **multi-year funding commitments**	Slightly increasing costs, focus on leadership transition funding
The Wooster Group	-17.87% (2022-23)	Declining revenue, slight deficit	Cost control maintained but lacks revenue diversification
The Ambler Theater	-22.65% (2022-23)	Cash position declining	High operating costs vs. declining revenue
The Public Theater	–28.56% (2022-23)	Major decline in revenue, but maintains strong reserves	High fixed costs and reliance on large-scale productions, requiring immediate financial restructuring

Assessment.

- ***Ping Chong & Co.*** demonstrates strong short-term financial positioning despite rising liabilities. The challenge is ensuring that multi-year funding commitments translate into long-term stability.

- ***Wooster Group and Ambler Theater*** are experiencing revenue contraction, signaling potential financial distress. They may need revenue diversification strategies.
- ***The Public Theater*** sharp decline signals a critical need to diversify funding sources and restructure expenses.

4.2 Long-term Financial Performance

Key Metrics.

- Net Asset Growth: Indicates financial strength and ability to weather future challenges.
- Revenue Diversification: Evaluates reliance on contributions vs. earned income.
- Debt & Liability Exposure: Determines if long-term liabilities pose financial risks.

Organization	Net Asset Growth (Year-Over-Year)	Revenue Diversification	Debt & Liability Exposure
Ping Chong & Co.	+25.79% (2022-23)	Highly reliant on grants (70% of revenue)	High long-term funding liabilities
The Wooster Group	-0.41% (2022-23)	55% grants, 30% program service revenue	No long-term liabilities, but **shrinking net assets**
The Ambler Theater	-1.88% (2022-23)	50% ticket sales, 40% grants, 10% memberships	High fixed costs, **declining audience revenue**
The Public Theater	-6.44% (2022-23)	Heavily reliant on large donations and government grants, with limited program revenue growth	High operational costs and declining revenue pose financial sustainability risks

Assessment.

- ***Ping Chong & Co.*** has strong financial reserves (+25.79% net asset growth), but remains overly dependent on grants (70% of revenue). Long-term sustainability requires developing earned income models and reducing reliance on external funding.
- ***Wooster Group & Ambler Theater*** face structural financial challenges, with shrinking net assets and revenue declines. Both organizations need new audience engagement

strategies, revenue diversification (e.g., digital content, memberships), and stronger financial planning to regain stability.

- ***The Public Theater's*** financial position has weakened, with a -6.44% decline in net assets and a -28.5% drop in total revenue. The organization remains heavily reliant on large donations and government grants, making it vulnerable to funding fluctuations. To ensure long-term sustainability, it must diversify revenue streams, optimize operational efficiency, and reduce dependence on large-scale productions.

4.3 Operating Performance

Efficiency & Cost Management.

- Ping Chong & Co.: Increased investment in leadership transition but cost controls remain efficient.
- Wooster Group & Ambler Theater: High operational costs vs. declining revenue highlight inefficiencies.
- Public Theater: Higher fixed costs due to its large-scale productions but maintains operational leverage.

Revenue & Audience Engagement.

- Public Theater dominates audience engagement via multi-channel strategies (e.g., Joe's Pub, Shakespeare in the Park).
- Ambler Theater's audience numbers have dropped, showing a need for stronger marketing strategies.
- Wooster Group & Ping Chong need to rebuild audience bases post-pandemic.

4.4 Data Strategy

Organization	Financial Data Management	Audience Engagement	Program Impact Tracking

Ping Chong & Co.	**QuickBooks, Airtable** (Financial)	**Neon CRM** (Donor & Audience)	Manual tracking, internal spreadsheets
The Wooster Group	Limited data transparency	No published data strategy	Unknown
The Ambler Theater	Basic financial reporting	Membership-driven, CRM unknown	Unknown
The Public Theater	Advanced donor & CRM system (Tessitura)	Highly sophisticated engagement strategies (e.g., community-driven programming, strategic partnerships, hybrid experience - National Theater Live)	Uses ticketing & donor analytics

Assessment.

- The Public Theater has the highest level of data transparency, openly sharing audience engagement metrics, donor analytics, and financial reporting. It effectively leverages CRM systems like Tessitura for donor and audience management.
- Ping Chong & Co. maintains basic but structured financial and audience tracking, using QuickBooks, Airtable, and Neon CRM. However, its program impact tracking is internal and not publicly disclosed, limiting external visibility.
- The Wooster Group & Ambler Theater have low data transparency, with limited public information on financial reporting, audience engagement, and program impact tracking. The absence of published data strategies restricts external stakeholders from evaluating their performance and operational effectiveness.

4.5 Assessment of ASU 2016-14 Compliance for the Selected Organizations

Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-14 (ASU 2016-14) under FASB Topic 958 introduced changes to the financial reporting requirements for not-for-profit entities (NFPs).

Organization	**Net Asset**	**Liquidity**	**Functional**	**Investment**	**Cash Flow**

	Classification	**Disclosure**	**Expense Reporting**	**Return Disclosure**	**Statement**
Ping Chong & Co.	**Yes** (Net assets classified as with/without donor restrictions)	**Partial** (Basic disclosure, but lacks a detailed liquidity strategy)	**Yes** (Classifies expenses by function and nature)	**Yes** (Investment returns disclosed net of expenses)	**Yes** (Uses indirect method)
Wooster Group	**Yes** (Proper classification is used)	**No** (No detailed disclosure of liquidity management)	**Yes** (Compliant with ASU 2016-14, functional expense report included.)	**Yes** (Proper investment return disclosure.)	**Yes** (Compliant, follows indirect method.)
Ambler Theater	**Yes** (Compliant with ASU 2016-14 net asset classification)	**No** (Limited liquidity disclosure in 990s)	**Yes** (Proper expense allocation by function.)	**Yes** (Compliant with ASU 2016-14.)	**Yes** (Uses indirect method, no reconciliation issues.)
The Public Theater	**Yes** (Fully compliant with ASU 2016-14 classifications)	**Yes** (Provides detailed liquidity disclosure, including reserve management)	**Yes** (Full compliance with functional expense disclosures.)	**Yes** (Fully compliant, net investment income reported.)	**Yes** (Fully compliant, follows ASU 2016-14 guidance.)

- The Public Theater is the most compliant, providing detailed liquidity disclosures, net asset classifications, and expense reporting.
- Ping Chong & Co. follows ASU 2016-14 in net asset classification and expense reporting, but lacks a clear liquidity disclosure strategy.
- Wooster Group & Ambler Theater lack sufficient liquidity disclosures, which is a key requirement under ASU 2016-14.
- All organizations comply with expense classification and investment return disclosures.

5. Plans for Improvement for Ping Chong & Co. in Light of Its Three-Year Transition

With PCC undergoing a three-year strategic transition following the retirement of its founder, the organization faces significant financial, operational, and structural changes. The 2023-2024 audit report and strategic plan highlight PCC's focus on sustaining its artistic legacy, strengthening governance, and ensuring long-term financial stability. Below are targeted recommendations to improve financial management, sustainability, data management, and Integrated Performance Management (IPM) during this transformation.

5.1 Financial Management Strategy

Diversify Revenue Streams

As PCC transitions from a founder-led model to an institutionally governed structure, it must establish a more diversified revenue base. Currently, a large portion of its income comes from grants and donations, making it vulnerable to funding fluctuations. To ensure long-term financial sustainability, PCC should introduce subscription-based digital content, licensing agreements for past productions, and educational workshops that generate consistent income. Additionally, fostering corporate sponsorships and donor membership programs can help establish a more predictable revenue stream.

Enhance Cash Flow and Reserves

PCC's 2024 audit report shows significant net assets, but operational liquidity remains a concern. Establishing an emergency reserve fund—covering at least six months of operational costs—will provide stability during this leadership transition. PCC should also refine multi-year financial planning to smooth out grant disbursements, avoiding cash shortfalls between funding cycles.

Enhance Cost Control and Financial Transparency

PCC should implement zero-based budgeting, ensuring every expense aligns with its evolving mission. Given its reliance on grants, long-term financial forecasting models should be integrated into financial decision-making. Strengthening board oversight—particularly in financial governance—will be critical, as PCC moves away from a founder-dependent structure.

5.2 Sustainability Management Strategy

Implement Sustainable Production Policies

PCC should integrate sustainability into its production processes by using eco-friendly materials for set designs, costumes, and props, as well as transitioning to energy-efficient lighting and sound equipment. These initiatives will reduce environmental impact while improving cost efficiency over time.

Develop and ESG Reporting Framework

Improving governance and transparency through an ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reporting framework will strengthen PCC's credibility. The organization should publish an annual impact report detailing its environmental and social performance, as well as financial accountability measures. Implementing structured sustainability reporting similar to The Public Theater will enhance stakeholder confidence and attract new funding opportunities.

Establish a Long-Term Sustainability Plan

A long-term sustainability plan is critical for ensuring the organization's future stability. PCC should work toward building a fundraising endowment that can generate steady investment returns to support operations. Strengthening community engagement programs will help expand the organization's donor base, fostering long-term financial relationships rather than relying on one-time grants or contributions.

5.3 Data Management Strategy

Financial Tracking & Automation

Currently, PCC uses QuickBooks Online for accounting, with an external financial management firm handling financial compliance and audits. Additionally, Airtable is used for invoice tracking, and RAP is implemented for credit card management. While these tools provide a streamlined financial tracking system, PCC could benefit from automating financial forecasting by integrating budget projection tools that link with QuickBooks to enhance financial planning accuracy. Establishing more frequent financial reports (quarterly rather than annually) could also improve fiscal oversight during the leadership transition.

Audience Engagement & Donor Data Management

For audience engagement and donor tracking, PCC relies on Neon CRM, which processes donations and tracks attendee information. Since PCC's team is small and does not require complex filters, Neon CRM remains effective. However, to enhance donor retention and targeted fundraising efforts, PCC could leverage AI-driven donor segmentation within Neon to personalize donor outreach based on engagement patterns. Additionally, implementing email automation for donor follow-ups and engagement surveys could help cultivate long-term donor relationships.

Enhance Public Outreach Through Community Partnerships

PCC should expand partnerships with schools, local arts organizations, and community groups to strengthen audience engagement. Developing arts education initiatives, workshops, and residency programs will help PCC extend its reach while securing additional grant funding.

Program Impact Assessment and Reporting

PCC's program metrics, particularly for community-based projects like "Undesirable Elements" and education programs, are currently tracked internally using spreadsheets. While this method is manageable, it lacks scalability and real-time impact tracking. Moving forward, PCC should develop a centralized impact dashboard where KPIs (e.g., audience reach, participant retention, community engagement levels) are automatically updated. Since PCC is required to submit extensive grant reports, an automated impact tracking system could significantly reduce administrative workload and improve reporting accuracy.

Ensuring Data Accuracy, Privacy & Compliance

As PCC transitioned to a hybrid work model, a key challenge has been digitizing data security and compliance protocols. The organization has worked with an IT consultant to ensure secure access to financial and audience data and prevent unauthorized breaches. Moving forward, PCC should develop a formal data privacy policy, outlining encryption standards, access controls, and cybersecurity protocols to further strengthen data security.

5.4 Integrated Performance Management (IPM) Strategy

Align Financial Goals with Mission Impact

PCC must ensure its financial strategy aligns with its artistic and social justice mission. Implementing a balanced scorecard approach that evaluates financial performance, artistic impact, and operational effectiveness can provide a comprehensive view of the organization's progress.

Strengthen Governance & Board Oversight

PCC's transition from a founder-driven structure requires stronger governance mechanisms. The board should expand its expertise by recruiting financial professionals, sustainability advisors, and nonprofit strategists. Additionally, establishing a finance and audit committee will provide structured oversight, ensuring PCC maintains fiscal discipline and accountability.

Optimize Operational Efficiency

To ensure continuity beyond the transition, PCC should streamline internal operations, ensuring clear leadership roles and responsibilities. This includes enhancing leadership transition funding to support new management, while also conducting regular cost-effectiveness audits to optimize resource allocation.

Learn from The Public Theater's Model for Scaling Impact

PCC can benefit from studying The Public Theater's strategic approach, which balances artistic innovation, financial sustainability, and public engagement. By integrating public-private funding, corporate sponsorships, and accessibility programs, PCC can scale its impact while maintaining its core mission.

6. Conclusion

As Ping Chong & Co. (PCC) navigates its three-year strategic transition, ensuring financial sustainability, transparency, and long-term operational resilience is critical. The comparative benchmark analysis highlights key areas of strength and opportunities for improvement across financial management, governance, and data transparency.

PCC has demonstrated strong financial reserves and revenue growth but remains heavily reliant on grants. By diversifying its income streams through programmatic revenue, donor expansion, and corporate sponsorships, it can build a more sustainable financial model. Strengthening financial governance through enhanced board oversight and structured financial planning will further reinforce its stability.

Compared to its peers, The Wooster Group and Ambler Theater face significant financial challenges, with revenue declines and stagnant net assets limiting their capacity for growth. Implementing revenue diversification and cost efficiency measures will be essential for their sustainability.

The Public Theater, despite facing a decline in revenue and net assets, remains structurally stable due to its size, reserves, and diversified funding sources. It serves as a valuable model for scaling financial resilience, leveraging data for audience engagement, and integrating strategic financial planning to maintain long-term viability.

For PCC, prioritizing transparency in financial reporting, audience engagement, and program impact tracking will enhance stakeholder confidence and position the organization as a leader in nonprofit arts management. By adopting best practices from The Public Theater, refining its sustainability strategy, and strengthening data-driven decision-making, PCC can successfully transition into a more resilient and adaptable institution.

References

Ambler Theater. (n.d.). https://www.amblertheater.org/about

Company. Ping Chong + Company. (n.d.-a). https://www.pingchong.org/about/company

Group, T. W. (n.d.). *Current Company & Associates*. About the Company | THE WOOSTER GROUP. https://thewoostergroup.org/company

Ping Chong & Company. (2022). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/132874863/202320959349301537/full

Ping Chong & Company. (2023). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/132874863/202420299349302222/full

Sormeley, M. G. (2024). *Independent auditor's report for Fiji Theater Company, Inc. (Ping Chong & Co.) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024*. Ridgefield, NJ: Fiji Theater Company, Inc.

The Wooster Group. (2022). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/237022729/202300279349301500/full

The Wooster Group. (2023). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/237022729/202400269349300915/full

The Ambler Theater. (2022). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/233077859/202302079349300425/full

The Ambler Theater. (2023). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/nonprofits/organizations/233077859/202402869349300310/full

The Public Theater. (2022). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://publictheater.org/contentassets/fd8b60f7bba54078b65aaefd19cdfc90/nysf---fy22---form-990---final---public-disclosure-copy---2023.06.28.pdf

The Public Theater. (2023). *Form 990: Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax*. Internal Revenue Service. Retrieved from https://publictheater.org/contentassets/fd8b60f7bba54078b65aaefd19cdfc90/nysf---form-990-fy23---final-signed---2024.06.29---public-version.pdf