

SHOULD YOU PAY TAX ON THE FURNITURE AND JEWELLERY YOU SOLD?

A CAUTIONARY TALE ON THE TAX TREATMENT OF PERSONAL-USE PROPERTY

By Tina Tehranchian



One of my clients, Ricky, lost his wife, Joan, to cancer three years ago (names have been changed to protect their privacy). They had been married for over 50 years and the loss was devastating for Ricky emotionally. So naturally it took him a few years to get around to disposing of Joan's personal effects and jewellery and even that was only prompted by his decision to sell his house and move into a retirement residence.

Personal-use Property

I told Ricky that his late wife's personal effects would be considered personal-use property for tax purposes, but her jewellery would be considered listed personal property (LPP) and these two types of assets would be taxed differently.

Personal-use property is property that is not bought for profit or gain and is bought to be used personally by the tax payer and the tax payer's family and for their personal enjoyment. Personal-use property can include a cottage, car, boat and personal effects.

Joan owned a 19th century Singer sewing machine that she had bought in the early years of their marriage at a flea market. Ricky was surprised to find out that he could sell it for \$700-\$800 and told me, "If I manage to sell the Singer sewing machine for \$750, given the fact that I have no receipt and no recollection of how much we bought it for, how much capital gains tax do I have to pay on it?"

I told Ricky that this is a problem that most tax payers face, which is why the Canada Revenue Agency has a special rule for calculating the ACB of personal-use property. According to this special rule the ACB of personal-use property is deemed to be the greater of the property's actual ACB or \$1000 when calculating the capital gains or loss realized on the sale of personal-use property. Therefore, if he has no proof of purchase to establish the actual ACB of the Singer sewing machine then he should deem the ACB to be \$1000.

In the case of the Singer sewing machine since both his ACB and proceeds of disposition would be deemed to be \$1000, there would be no capital gain to report.

Ricky has a treadmill that he sold too as he would have no use for it in the retirement home. He had bought the treadmill for \$3000 and he sold it for \$700. He also had a leather couch that was too big to fit in his small space at the retirement home. He had bought it for \$1500 and sold it for \$2000. Ricky asked me if he could realize a capital loss on the sale of the treadmill and use it to offset capital gains on the sale of his couch.

I told Ricky that unfortunately that was not the case. When it comes to personal-use property a capital loss is not deductible and cannot be used to offset a tax payer's capital gains.

Here is how the capital gains and losses would have to be reported for the Singer sewing machine, the treadmill and the leather couch:

	Singer Sewing Machine	Treadmill	Leather Couch
Original Cost	\$50	\$3,000	\$1,500
Actual selling price	\$750	\$700	\$2,000
Proceeds of disposition	\$1,000 ¹	\$1000 ²	\$2,000
Adjusted cost base	\$1,000 ³	\$3,000	\$1,500
Capital gain (loss)	\$0	(\$2,300)	\$500

¹ Deeming rule results in the proceeds of disposition to be bumped up from \$750 to \$1,000

² Deeming rule results in the proceeds of disposition to be bumped up from \$700 to \$1,000

³ Deeming rule results in the ACB to be bumped up from \$50 to \$1,000

In this instance, Ricky would have to include 50% of the \$500 gain realized on the sale of his leather couch in his income and pay income tax on it. Unfortunately, he would not be able to use the \$2,300 loss from the sale of the treadmill to offset the capital gains on the leather couch, nor would this loss be deductible against his income. Thankfully, he would not owe any taxes on the sale of the Singer sewing machine as the deeming rule would result the ACB and the proceeds of disposition to be bumped up to \$1000 and the capital gains to be nil.

Listed Personal Property

Listed personal property (LPP) is a subset of personal-use property. This type of property, even though it is personally used and enjoyed by the tax payer, has an investment element and can appreciate over time. As a result, the rules in respect of capital losses realized on LPP are different from those that apply to personal-use property.

Capital losses that are realized on LPP can offset capital gains realized in the same year on LPP. Also, as with the rules governing taxation of capital property, net capital losses realized on LPP can be carried back three years and can be claimed against capital gains on other LPP. In addition, net capital losses realized on LPP can be carried forward seven years and claimed against capital gains realized on other LPP in those years.

According to the Income Tax Act, LPP includes the following properties:

- A print, etching, drawing, painting, sculpture, or other similar work of art
- Jewellery
- A rare folio, rare manuscript, or rare book;
- A stamp; or,
- A coin

As mentioned before, Ricky was planning to sell Joan's jewellery. The jewellery had been purchased over several decades and none of the receipts had been kept. Ricky had the jewellery appraised and was quite surprised by the high appraisal value of some of the items. A diamond ring he had purchased for their 30th wedding anniversary for \$500 (one of the few items that he remembered the purchase price) was now appraised at \$5,000. Ricky asked me what the tax implications would be if he sold that diamond ring and

whether he would have to declare a capital gains and pay taxes on the proceeds of sale.

Ricky seemed frustrated and told me, “I don’t have any receipts for the jewellery that we purchased over the years, how do I know what the adjusted cost base is, so I can calculate my capital gains?”

If Ricky sells the diamond ring, the \$500 purchase price of the ring would be bumped up to \$1000 due to the deeming rule and his adjusted cost base (ACB) would be \$1,000. Since the \$5,000 proceeds of sale would be higher than the property’s ACB, the sale would result in a capital gains of \$4,000 being realized and 50% of this gain or \$2,000 would be included in his taxable income and would be subject to income tax.

Ricky was surprised to hear this and told me, “That’s interesting. One of the pieces of Joan’s jewellery was an antique broach that we bought at an antique shop for \$2000 but it was appraised at only \$50. I guess it must have been a fake antique. Can I realize a capital loss if I sell it for \$50 and then apply that capital loss to my capital gains from the sale of the diamond ring, the same way I could use capital losses from certain stocks to offset capital gains realized from selling other stocks?”

I told Ricky that fortunately he could do that. Here is how the capital gain would be calculated on the two pieces of jewellery:

	Diamond Ring	Antique Broach
Original Cost	\$500	\$2,000
Actual selling price	\$5,000	\$50
Proceeds of disposition	\$5,000	\$1,000 ¹
Adjusted cost base (ACB)	\$1000 ²	\$2,000
Capital gain (loss)	\$4,000	(\$1,000)

¹ Deeming rule results in the proceeds of disposition to be bumped up from \$50 to \$1,000

² Deeming rule results in the ACB to be bumped up from \$500 to \$1,000

In this case the \$1000 capital loss realized on the sale of the antique broach can partially offset the \$4,000 capital gain from the sale of the diamond ring.

Tina Tehranchian, MA, CFP®, CLU®, CHFC®, is a senior wealth advisor and branch manager at Assante Capital Management Ltd. in Richmond Hill Ontario and can be reached at (905) 707-5220 or through her website at www.tinatehranchian.com. Assante Capital Management Ltd. is a member of the Canadian Investor Protection Fund and the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada.